

Subtyping Relation as Reducibility Set Inclusion

Peng Fu

Last revised: March 28,2010

1 Descriptions

1.1 Types

$$T ::= b \mid T_1 \rightarrow T_2$$

1.2 Terms.

$$t ::= x \mid (t_1 t_2) \mid \lambda x.t$$

1.3 Type assignment rules.

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = T}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \text{ T.Var}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_2 \rightarrow T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_1} \text{ T.App}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.t : T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \text{ T.Lam}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T_1 \quad T_1 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash t : T_2} \text{ T.Sub}$$

1.4 Subtyping

$$\overline{T <: b} \text{ Top}$$

$$\frac{T'_1 <: T_1 \quad T_2 <: T'_2}{T_1 \rightarrow T_2 <: T'_1 \rightarrow T'_2} \text{ Coercion}$$

2 Interpretation

2.1 Properties of Reducibility Sets

Definition Let N be the set of terms which have a normal form under our reduction setting. We define sets RED_T by induction on T

1. $t \in RED_b$ iff $t \in N$ and closed.
2. $t \in RED_{T_1 \rightarrow T_2}$ iff $\forall u (u \in RED_{T_1} \Rightarrow (t u) \in RED_{T_2})$.

CR 1 If $t \in RED_T$, then $t \in N$ and closed.

CR 2 If $t \in RED_T$ and $t \rightsquigarrow t'$, then $t' \in RED_T$.

CR 3 If t is a closed term, $t \rightsquigarrow t'$ and $t' \in RED_T$, then $t \in RED_T$.

CR 4 RED_T is a non-empty set.

Theorem(Soundness of Subtyping) If $t \in RED_T$ and $T <: T'$, then $t \in RED_{T'}$.

Proof: We will do the induction on the structure of T :

Base Case: $T = b$

By inversion on subtyping relation, $T' = b$, so it's trivially true.

Step Case: $T = T_1 \rightarrow T_2$

If $T' = b$, $t \in RED_T$, by CR 1, $t \in N$, so $t \in RED_b$. If $T' = T'_1 \rightarrow T'_2$, by inversion on the subtyping relation, $T'_1 <: T_1$ and $T'_2 <: T_2$. So for arbitrary $u \in RED_{T'_1}$, by IH, $u \in RED_{T_1}$. By definition of $RED_{T_1 \rightarrow T_2}$, $tu \in RED_{T_2}$. Again, by IH, $tu \in RED_{T'_2}$. So by definition of $RED_{T'_1 \rightarrow T'_2}$, $t \in RED_{T'_1 \rightarrow T'_2}$.

3 Conclusion

If we interpret type T as RED_T , then we can interpret subtyping relation $T <: T'$ as $RED_T \subseteq RED_{T'}$.